Asymptotics, Recurrence relations

Analysis of an algorithm seeks to explore its termination, correctness, time complexity, space complexity.

These ensure the algorithm has the desired **functionality** (termination and correctness) as well as to **predict** the absolute and relative **performance** for solving a given problem.

Time complexity depends on **machine speed** and **input size and content**. Analysis of algorithm focuses only on the input size and content factor.

Worse-case time: Maximum time needed among all possible inputs of size n.

Asymptotic upper bounds

Let f(n) and g(n) be positive valued functions.

If there exists N > 0, C > 0 such that for all n > N,

$$f(n) \leq Cg(n)$$

We say,

$$f(n) \in O(g(n))$$

O(g(n)) is a **set of functions** which consists of all functions f(n) satisfying the above. We often abuse notation and say.

$$f(n) = O(g(n))$$

Asymptotic lower bounds

Let f(n) and g(n) be positive valued functions.

If there exists N>0, C>0 such that for all n>N,

$$f(n) \ge Cg(n)$$

We say,

$$f(n)\in \Omega(g(n)) \qquad f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$$

Asymptotic tight bounds

If $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$ and $f(n)\in O(g(n))$, we say $f(n)\in \Theta(g(n)) \quad or \quad f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$

Asymptotics using limits

Theorem Let f(n) and g(n) be positive functions and h(n)=f(n)/g(n)

- 1. If $lim_{n
 ightarrow\infty}h(n)=0$, then f(n)=O(g(n)) and $f(n)
 eq\Omega(g(n))$
- 2. If $lim_{n
 ightarrow\infty}h(n)=b$, where b is some positive number, then $f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$

3. If $lim_{n
ightarrow\infty}h(n)=\infty$, then $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$ and f(n)
eq O(g(n))

Common asymptotic growth functions

Function	Name
1	constant
lglgn	log log
$lg \ n$	log
$n^c, 0 < c < 1$	sublinear
n	linear
$n \ lg \ n$	n log n
n^2	quadratic
n^3	cubic
$n^k, k \geq 1$	polynomial
c^n	exponential
n!	factorial

Note: For division, we always assume floor division for integers

Solving recurrence relations

Guess and prove by induction

- Guess a close form solution
 - 1. Compute value of function at a few points to form an equation
 - 2. Unfold recurrence by a few steps
- Try to prove by induction
- If failed, use the attempt to guide in refining the solution

Example

Given the following

$$T(0) = 0$$

 $T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1$

Compute a few values of T(n),

$$T(1) = 1, \ T(2) = 3, \ T(3) = 7, \ T(4) = 15, \ T(5) = 31, \ T(6) = 63$$

We can guess that T(n) seems to be

$$T(n) = 2^n - 1$$

Proof:

$$T(0) = 2^{0} - 1 = 0 \quad \checkmark$$
$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1$$
$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1$$
$$= 2(2^{n-1} - 1) + 1$$
$$= 2^{n} - 2 + 1$$
$$= 2^{n} - 1 \quad \checkmark$$

We can attempt to guess by "unrolling" the recurrence.

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n-1)+1 \ &= 2(2T(n-2)+1)+1 = 4T(n-2)+3 \ &= 4(2T(n-3)+1)+3 = 8T(n-3)+7 \ &= 16T(n-4)+15 \end{aligned}$$

We can guess that

$$T(n)=2^kT(n-k)+2^k-1 \quad for \quad 1\leq k\leq n$$

Proof:

For k = 1,

$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1 \qquad \checkmark$$

For k = i,

$$T(n) = 2^{i}T(n-i) + 2^{i} - 1$$

For k = i + 1,

$$T(n) = 2^{i+1}T(n-i-1) + 2^{i+1} - 1$$

Given that,

$$T(n) = 2T(n-1) + 1$$

 $T(n-1) = rac{T(n) - 1}{2}$
 $T(n-i-1) = rac{T(n-i) - 1}{2}$

Substituting,

$$T(n) = 2^{i+1}T(n-i-1) + 2^{i+1} - 1$$

 $2^{i+1}T(n-i-1) + 2^{i+1} - 1 = 2^{i+1}rac{T(n-i)-1}{2} + 2^{i+1} - 1$
 $= 2^iT(n-i) - 2^i + 2^{i+1} - 1$
 $= 2^iT(n-i) + 2^i - 1 \quad \checkmark$

The above equation is correct, and when k = n,

$$T(n) = 2^n T(0) + 2^n - 1$$

= $2^n - 1$

Example: Fibonacci

The recurrence is as follows

$$egin{aligned} F(0) &= 0 \ F(1) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$F(n)=F(n-1)+F(n-2)$$
 for $n\geq 2$

The sequence is increasing and thus,

$$2F(n-2) \leq F(n) \leq 2F(n-1)$$

F is implied to be exponential in n. Assume $F(n) < a \ b^n$ for some positive real numbers a and b. To prove by induction, we want:

$$egin{aligned} & ab^{n-1}+ab^{n-2}\leq ab^n\ & ab^n-ab^{n-1}-ab^{n-2}\geq 0\ & ab^{n-2}(b^2-b-1)\geq 0\ & b^2-b-1\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solving for the root, taking into account that b is positive, we get,

$$b \geq \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$$

Which is also the golden ratio $\phi.$ We take the lower bound of the ans $b=\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$

Show using induction on n that

$$egin{array}{l} rac{\phi^n}{10} \leq F(n) \leq \phi^n \quad for \quad n \geq 1 \ \phi = rac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \end{array}$$

Taking the right side, for n = 1

$$egin{array}{ll} F(1) = 1 \ \leq \phi \quad \checkmark \end{array}$$

Assume that $F(i) \leq \phi^i$ for all $i \leq k$ where $1 \leq k < n$

$$egin{aligned} F(n) &= F(n-1) + F(n-2) \ &\leq \phi^{n-1} + \phi^{n-2} \ &= \phi^{n-2}(1+\phi) \ &= \phi^{n-2}(\phi^2) = \phi^n \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Remember from solving the root equation of $b^2-b-1=0$, we obtain the value of ϕ , thus,

$$\phi^2-\phi-1=0 \ \phi^2=\phi+1$$

Taking the left side, for n = 1

$$egin{array}{ll} F(1) = 1 \ \geq rac{\phi}{10} = 0.1618 \quad \checkmark \end{array}$$

Assume that $F(i) \geq rac{\phi^i}{10}$ for all $i \leq k$ where $1 \leq k < n$

$$egin{aligned} F(n) &= F(n-1) + F(n-2) \ &\geq rac{\phi^{n-1}}{10} + rac{\phi^{n-2}}{10} \ &= \phi^{n-2}rac{(1+\phi)}{10} \ &= \phi^{n-2}rac{(\phi^2)}{10} = rac{\phi^n}{10} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Example: Merge Sort

$$T(n) = egin{cases} \Theta(1) & if \ n = 1 \ T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \Theta(n) & otherwise \end{cases}$$

We shall assume n is a power of 2 for simplicity. Assuming constant ${\cal C}>0,$

$$T(n) \leq egin{cases} C & if \ n=1 \ 2T(n/2)+Cn & otherwise \end{cases}$$

If we unfold this recurrence, we will obtain

$$T(n) \leq 2(2T(n/4)+Cn/2)+Cn ~~= 4T(n/4)+2Cn \ \leq 4(2T(n/8)+Cn/4)+2Cn ~~= 8T(n/8)+3Cn$$

We can guess that $T(n) \leq 2^k T(n/2^k) + kCn \quad for \quad 1 \leq k \leq log_2n$

Proof:

For k = 1,

$$T(n)=2T(n/2)+Cn$$
 🗸

For k = i where i < k

$$T(n) \leq 2^i T(n/2^i) + iCn$$

For k=i+1,

$$T(n) \leq 2^{i+1}T(n/2^{i+1}) + (i+1)Cn$$

 $2^{i+1}T(n/2^{i+1}) + (i+1)Cn = 2^{i+1}(rac{T(n/2^i) - Cn/2^i}{2}) + (i+1)Cn$
 $= 2^iT(n/2^i) - Cn + iCn + Cn$
 $= 2^iT(n/2^i) + iCn \quad \checkmark$

For maximum value $k=log_2n$

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &\leq 2^{log_2n}T(n/2^{log_2n}) + (log_2n)Cn \ &= nT(n/n) + Cnlog_2n \ &= Cn + Cnlog_2n \end{aligned} \ T(1) = C \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can see that $T(n) = O(n \log n)$

To prove that $T(n) = \Omega(n \ log \ n)$, we take a constant D for 0 < D < C

$$T(n) \geq egin{cases} D & if \ n=1 \ 2T(n/2) + Dn & otherwise \end{cases}$$

The steps for proving will be the same as above.

Example: Harder example

Assume $n=2^{2^k}$ for some integer k. Consider the following recurrence

$$T(n) = egin{cases} 1 & if \ n=2 \ \sqrt{n}T(\sqrt{n}) + n & if \ n>2 \end{cases}$$

Assuming for a constant C>0,

$$T(n) \leq egin{cases} C & if \ n=2 \ \sqrt{n}T(\sqrt{n})+Cn & if \ n>2 \end{cases}$$

Unfolding this we get,

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &\leq \sqrt{n}(n^{1/4}T(n^{1/4})+Cn^{1/2})+Cn &= n^{3/4}T(n^{1/4})+2Cn \ &\leq n^{3/4}(n^{1/8}T(n^{1/8})+Cn^{1/4})+2Cn &= n^{7/8}T(n^{1/8})+3Cn \end{aligned}$$

We can guess:

$$T(n) \leq n^{1-1/2^i}T(n^{1/2^i}) + i \cdot Cn \quad for \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

For i=1,

$$T(n) \leq n^{1/2}T(n^{1/2}) + Cn$$
 🗸

For i=j,

$$T(n) \leq n^{1-1/2^j} T(n^{1/2^j}) + jCn^{j}$$

For i=j+1,

$$T(n) \leq n^{1-1/2^{j+1}}T(n^{1/2^{j+1}}) + (j+1)Cn$$
 $n^{1-1/2^{j+1}}T(n^{1/2^{j+1}}) + (j+1)Cn = n^{1-1/2^{j+1}}rac{T(n^{1/2^j}) - Cn^{1/2^j}}{n^{1/2^{j+1}}} + (j+1)Cn$
 $= n^{1-1/2^j}T(n^{1/2^j}) - Cn + jCn + Cn$
 $= n^{1-1/2^j}T(n^{1/2^j}) + jCn \quad \checkmark$

Our guess is correct so to get the equation, we need to form an equation with T(2) where $n^{1/2^i}=2$

$$n^{1/2^i} = 2 \ rac{1}{2^i} log_2 n = 1 \ log_2 n = 2^i \ log_2 log_2 n = i$$

so substituting for $i = \log \log n$

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &\leq n^{1-1/2^{\log\log n}} T(n^{1/2^{\log\log n}}) + (\log\log n) Cn \ &= rac{n}{2} T(2) + (\log\log n) Cn \ &= rac{Cn}{2} + Cn \log\log n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can see that $T(n) = O(n \log \log n)$.

Repeating this with the following where D is a constant such that $0 < D < C \label{eq:constant}$

$$T(n) \geq egin{cases} D & if \ n=2 \ \sqrt{n}T(\sqrt{n}) + Dn & if \ n>2 \end{cases}$$

will give you the proof for $T(n) = \Omega(n \log \log n)$ and thus,

 $T(n) = \Theta(n \log \log n)$

Divide and conquer recurrences: Recursion Trees

Many divide and conquer algorithms give a running-time recurrence of the form:

$$T(n) = a \ T(n/b) + f(n)$$

In merge sort, $a=2,\ b=2,\ f(n)=n$

We will again assume a and b are integers, and n is a power of b, with $n=b^{L+1}.$ It will later be shown the assumption is not necessary. We also assume

$$T(1) = \Theta(1)$$
 $f(1) = \Theta(1)$

Unfolding this recurrence,

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &= a \cdot T(n/b) + f(n) \ &= a(a \cdot T(n/b^2) + f(n/b)) + f(n) \ &= a^2 T(n/b^2) + af(n/b) + f(n) \ &= a^2(aT(n/b^3) + f(n/b^2)) + af(n/b) + f(n) \ &= a^3T(n/b^3) + a^2f(n/b^2) + af(n/b) + f(n) \ &= \ldots \end{aligned}$$

By observing the pattern, we can come up with the following equation

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= a^{L+1}T(n/b^{L+1}) + \ldots + af(n/b) + f(n) \\ &= a^{L+1}T(1) + \ldots + af(n/b) + f(n) \qquad n = b^{L+1} \\ &= a^{L+1}T(1) + \sum_{i=0}^{L} a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) \\ &= \Theta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{L} a^{i}f(n/b^{i})\right) \qquad T(1) = \Theta(1) \end{split}$$

Exercise: Prove the above solution

Our guess:

$$T(n)=a^kT(n/b^k)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}a^if(n/b^i) \quad for \quad 1\leq k\leq L+1$$

When k=1,

$$egin{array}{l} T(n) = aT(n/b) + \sum_{i=0}^{0} a^i f(n/b^i) \ = aT(n/b) + f(n) \quad \checkmark \end{array}$$

Take k = j for $1 \leq j < k$

$$T(n)=a^jT(n/b^j)+\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}a^if(n/b^i)$$

For k=j+1

$$T(n) = a^{j+1}T(n/b^{j+1}) + \sum_{i=0}^j a^i f(n/b^i)$$

With the following equation

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n) \ T(n/b^j) = aT(n/b^{j+1}) + f(n/b^j) \ T(n/b^{j+1}) = rac{T(n/b^j) - f(n/b^j)}{a}$$

Subbing the above into our equation for k=j+1

$$egin{aligned} &a^{j+1}T(n/b^{j+1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j}a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) = a^{j+1}(rac{T(n/b^{j}) - f(n/b^{j})}{a}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j}a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) \ &= a^{j}T(n/b^{j}) - a^{j}f(n/b^{j}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j}a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) \ &= a^{j}T(n/b^{j}) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1}a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, $a^j f(n/b^j)$ is the last term in $\sum_{i=0}^j a^i f(n/b^i)$

Thus, the equation we have guessed is correct.

To prove that $T(n) = \Theta \Bigl(\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) \Bigr)$, we assume for a positive constant C,

$$T(n) \leq C igg(\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) igg) \ T(n) \leq a T(n/b) + C f(n)$$

$$T(n) \leq a^k T(n/b^k) + C \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i f(n/b^i) \quad for \quad 1 \leq k \leq L+1$$

The base case T(1) is proven as it is $\Theta(1)$ and we only have to find C greater than the constant.

Taking k=1,

$$T(n) \leq aT(n/b) + Cf(n)$$
 🗸

Taking k = j where $1 \leq j < k$

$$T(n)\leq a^jT(n/b^j)+C\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}a^if(n/b^i)$$

Taking k=j+1,

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &\leq a^{j+1}T(n/b^{j+1}) + C\sum_{i=0}^{j}a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) \ &= a^{j+1}(rac{T(n/b^{j})-Cf(n/b^{j})}{a}) + C\sum_{i=0}^{j}a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) \ &= a^{j}T(n/b^{j}) + C\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}a^{i}f(n/b^{i}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equation holds true. Finally, subbing k = L + 1

$$T(n)\leq a^{L+1}T(1)+C\sum_{i=0}^La^if(n/b^i)$$

Looking at the term $a^{L+1}T(1)$ where $T(1) = \Theta(1)$.

$$a^{L+1} = a \cdot a^L = \Theta(a^L)$$

Since the last term of the summation is $a^L f(b) = \Theta(a^L)$, it dominates the other term.

$$T(n) \leq C \sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i)
onumber \ T(n) = Oigg(\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) igg)$$

The same can be shown for lower bound as well with the initial assumption of

$$T(n) \ge aT(n/b) + Df(n)$$

where $0 \leq D < C$

Masters Theorem

The recurrence $T(n) = a \, T(n/b) + f(n)$ can be solved as follows for all (large enough) n,

If $a \cdot f(n/b) \leq lpha f(n)$ for some lpha < 1, then T(n) = O(f(n))

If $a \cdot f(n/b) \geq eta f(n)$ for some eta > 1, then $T(n) = O(a^{log_b\,n})$

If $a \cdot f(n/b) = f(n)$ then $T(n) = \Theta(f(n) log_b n)$

Exercise: First Theorem

If $a \cdot f(n/b) \leq lpha f(n)$ for some lpha < 1, then T(n) = O(f(n))

For all n, we have $a \cdot f(n/b) \leq \alpha f(n)$. This means that in the expansion of the formula $\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i)$, the term with i=0 dominates the other terms.

$$a^i \cdot f(n/b^i) \leq lpha \cdot a^{i-1} \cdot f(n/b^{i-1}) \leq \ldots \leq lpha^i f(n)$$

From this, we can conclude that

$$\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^L lpha^i f(n)$$

The term on the right is a geometric progression, with the ratio r being $\alpha,$ recall that $\alpha<1$ and the sum can then be obtained as follows

$$\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^L lpha^i f(n) \leq rac{1}{1-lpha} f(n) = O(f(n))$$

Also we can prove the lower bound with

$$\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) = f(n) + \sum_{i=1}^L a^i f(n/b^i) \ \geq f(n) = \Omega(f(n))$$

Thus, $T(n)=\Theta(f(n))$

Exercise: Second Theorem

If
$$a \cdot f(n/b) \geq eta f(n)$$
 for some $eta > 1$, then $T(n) = O(a^{\log_b n})$

This means that in the expansion of the formula $\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i)$, the term with i=L dominates the other terms.

$$egin{aligned} eta^i \cdot f(n) &\leq eta^{i-1} \cdot a \cdot f(n/b) \leq \ldots \leq a^i f(n/b^i) \ f(n) &\leq rac{1}{eta} \cdot a f(n/b) \leq \ldots \leq rac{1}{eta^i} \cdot a^i f(n/b^i) \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can conclude that

$$\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^L a^L f(n/b^L) \cdot rac{1}{eta^i}$$

Again, this leaves us with a geometric progression where $r=\frac{1}{\beta}$ where $\frac{1}{\beta}<1$

$$egin{array}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{L} a^i f(n/b^i) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{L} a^L f(n/b^L) \cdot rac{1}{eta^i} \ &\leq rac{1}{1-rac{1}{eta}} a^L f(b) \ &= O(a^L) \ &= O(a^{log_b\,n}) \end{array}$$

We can prove the lower bound with the following

$$\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) = a^L f(b) + \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} a^i f(n/b^i) \ \ge a^L = \Omega(a^{\log_b n})$$

Thus, $T(n) = \Theta(a^{log_b\,n}) \quad or \quad \Theta(n^{log_b\,a})$

Exercise: Third Theorem

If
$$a \cdot f(n/b) = f(n)$$
 then $T(n) = \Theta(f(n) log_b \ n)$

This means that in the expansion of the formula $\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i)$, all terms are the same.

$$f(n) = a \cdot f(n/b) = \ldots = a^i f(n/b^i)$$

From this, we can conclude that

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^L a^i f(n/b^i) = \sum_{i=0}^L a^L f(n/b^L) = \sum_{i=0}^L f(n) \ &\sum_{i=0}^L f(n) = (L+1) f(n) \ &= log_b \ n \cdot f(n) \ &= \Theta(f(n) log_b \ n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T(n) = \Theta(f(n) log_b \ n)$

Recursion Tree approach

Consider the following

$$T(n) \le T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + O(n)$$

Drawing out the recursion tree, we can observe that the total contribution at each level is n. The branch that decays by $\frac{n}{3}$ each time decays the fastest while the branch that decays by $\frac{2n}{3}$ each time decays the slowest. Here, we get the number of levels as between $log_3 n$ and $log_{3/2} n$. Since each level takes O(n) work and the number of levels is bounded by O(log n), the solution should be $T(n) = O(n \log n)$

Exercise

Prove the above bound on time complexity

With our guess of $T(n) = O(n \log n)$, we must prove that $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$ for some positive constant C.

We have the equation

$$T(n) \le T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + O(n)$$

which can be expressed with a constant ${\boldsymbol{D}}$

$$T(n) \leq T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + Dm$$

Proof:

Assume that
$$T(k) \leq Ck ~ log~ k$$
 is true for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + Dn \\ &\leq C(\frac{n}{3}log(n/3)) + C(\frac{2n}{3}log(2n/3)) + Dn \\ &= Cn(\frac{1}{3}log(n/3) + \frac{2}{3}log(2n/3) + D/C) \\ &= \frac{Cn}{3}(log(n) - log(3) + 2log(n) + 2log(2) - 2log(3) + 3D/C) \\ &= \frac{Cn}{3}(3log(n) - 3log(3) + 2 + 3D/C) \\ &= Cnlog(n) - Cnlog(3) + \frac{2}{3}Cn + Dn \end{split}$$

We can see that if the term $-Cnlog(3) + rac{2}{3}Cn + Dn \leq 0$, then $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$.

$$egin{aligned} -Cnlog(3)+rac{2}{3}Cn+Dn&\leq 0\ D&\leq C(log(3)-rac{2}{3})\ D&\leq 0.918C \end{aligned}$$

We can just take C=2D so now $T(n)\leq 2Dn \log n.$ Continuing from our previous equation

$$egin{aligned} &= Cnlog(n) - Cnlog(3) + rac{2}{3}Cn + Dn \ &= 2Dnlog(n) - 2Dnlog(3) + rac{4}{3}Dn + Dn \ &= 2Dnlog(n) - Dn(2log(3) - 7/3) \ &\leq 2Dn \ log \ n \ &= O(n \ log \ n) \end{aligned}$$

Transformations

The methods above may not be able to solve recurrence relations directly, but we can perform a transformation that can change the relation to something we already know.

- Domain transformation: S(n) = T(g(n)) for some appropriately chosen function g. E.g. S(n) = T(n + a) where g(n) = n + a.
- Range transformation: S(n) = g(T(n)) for some appropriately chosen function g. E.g. S(n) = T(n) - 1 where g(n) = n - 1.

Example: Unsimplified Mergesort

Consider the recurrence relation of merge-sort without any simplifying assumption.

$$T(n) = T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + \Theta(n)$$

We can overestimate the time bound a little as follows.

$$T(n) \leq 2T(\lceil n/2
ceil) + n \leq 2T(n/2+1) + n$$

With transformation, we can obtain the recurrence in a more standard form which we have seen: $S(n) \leq 2S(n/2) + n$

We make the **domain** transformation S(n) = T(n+a) where a is to be determined which gives us

$$S(n) \le 2T(n/2 + a/2 + 1) + n + a$$

We want the term such that

$$n/2 + a/2 + 1 = n/2 + a$$
$$a + 2 = 2a$$
$$a = 2$$

Choosing a=2 such that S(n)=T(n+2) will give us the following

$$egin{aligned} S(n) &\leq 2T(n/2+2/2+1)+n+2\ S(n) &\leq 2T(n/2+2)+n+2\ S(n) &\leq 2S(n/2)+n+2\ &= O(n\log n) \end{aligned}$$

Alternative method

Instead of **domain substitution**, we can reason with the following.

Let $2^k - 1 \leq n < 2^k$

$$T(n) < T(2^k) = O(2^k \cdot k)$$

We know the above is true as $T(m) = O(m \log m)$ if m is a power of 2. In this case, m = 2k which is a power of 2.

The term $O(2^k \cdot k) = O(2nlog(2n))$ as $2^k \leq 2n$ which simplifies to $O(n \ log \ n)$

Example

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/4) + 1$$

We can see that substituting $n=2^k$ gives us

$$T(2^k) = T(2^{k-1}) + T(2^{k-2}) + 1$$

Which suggests that a **domain** transformation of $t(k) = T(2^k)$ would work, giving us

$$t(k) = t(k-1) + t(k-2) + 1$$

This almost resembles the Fibonacci recurrence and we can get the exact form with a range transformation s(k)=t(k)+a

$$s(k) - a = s(k - 1) - a + s(k - 2) - a + 1$$

 $s(k) = s(k - 1) + s(k - 2) - a + 1$

We can see that we can remove the constant term if a=1

$$s(k) = s(k-1) + s(k-2)$$

This fits our previously solved Fibonacci sequence and we get

$$s(k) = \Theta(\phi^k) \quad where \ \phi = rac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$

which implies (via $k = log_2 n$)

$$T(n) = \Theta(\phi^{log_2n})$$

Exercise

Solve the following recurrence:

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T(i) + n$$

HINT: What is T(n) - T(n-1)

$$T(n) - T(n-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T(i) + n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} T(i) - n + 1$$

= $T(n-1) + 1$

Unfolding the recurrence

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n-1)+1 \ &= 2(2T(n-2)+1)+1 \ &= 4T(n-2)+3 \ &= 4(2T(n-3)+1)+3 \ &= 8T(n-3)+7 \ &= 8(2T(n-4)+1)+7 \ &= 16T(n-4)+15 \end{aligned}$$

We can guess that the equation is something like

$$T(n) = 2^k T(n-k) + 2^k - 1$$

for $0 \leq k < n$

Taking the case k=0,

$$T(n) = 2^0 T(n-0) + 2^0 - 1 = T(n)$$
 v

For the case k = i for $0 \leq i < k$

$$T(n) = 2^{i}T(n-i) + 2^{i} - 1$$

Now for k=i+1, the equation would be

$$T(n) = 2^{i+1}T(n-i-1) + 2^{i+1} - 1$$

To prove the above from k=i, we make use of the original equation T(n)=2T(n-1)+1

$$\begin{split} T(n) &= 2^{i}T(n-i) + 2^{i} - 1 \\ &= 2^{i}(2T(n-i-1) + 1) + 2^{i} - 1 \\ &= 2^{i+1}T(n-i-1) + 2^{i} + 2^{i} - 1 \\ &= 2^{i+1}T(n-i-1) + 2^{i+1} - 1 \quad \checkmark \end{split}$$

Thus, the equation $T(n)=2^kT(n-k)+2^k-1$ is true for $0\leq k\leq n$

Taking k=n-1

$$egin{array}{ll} T(n) &= 2^{n-1}T(1) + 2^{n-1} - 1 & T(1) = 1 \ &= 2^n - 1 \ &\leq 2^n \ &= O(2^n) \end{array}$$

 $\operatorname{{\bf Claim}} T(n) \leq C2^n$ for some postive constant C for all $n \geq 1$

Assuming that the above claim holds true for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n-1)+1 \ &\leq 2(C2^{n-1})+1 \ &\leq C2^n+1 \ &= O(2^n) \end{aligned}$$

Claim $T(n) \geq D2^n$ for some postive constant $0 \leq D < C$ for all $n \geq 1$

Assuming that the above claim holds true for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$egin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n-1)+1 \ &\geq 2(D2^{n-1})+1 \ &\geq D2^n+1 \ &= \Omega(2^n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can show that $T(n)=\Theta(2^n)$